Social Network Analysis (SNA) Using SNA to Enhance Policing Strategies





Speakers



Ken Novak, Ph.D.

Senior Manager, Institute for Intergovernmental Research (IIR) Retired Chief of Police, Marion, Iowa, Police Department Retired Major, Kansas City, Missouri, Police Department



Joe McHale

Professor, University of Missouri-Kansas City, Criminal Justice and CriminologyResearch Partner, Kansas City's Strategies for Policing Innovation and Crime Gun Intelligence Center

What Is Social Network Analysis (SNA)?

Analysis of social relationships

- Beyond individual attributes
- Maps relationships between individuals

Information and goods flow between people, so the structure of relations matters

Through SNA, we can identify important individuals based on their social position



What SNA Is NOT!

Social network analysis is not social networking
It is not Twitter or Facebook



Why SNA?

Crime concentration

Overlap between offenders and victims

- Risk factors
- Role of retaliation
- Influencers

Good starting point for understanding dynamics within deviant social groups

Starting point for actionable intelligence

Research on SNA

 Delinquent peers – one of the strongest predictors of crime (Warr)

 Violence is concentrated among networks of people (Papachristos)

The closer you are socially to violence, the more likely you are to become a victim (Papachristos)

 Position is important within the network (Morselli, McGloin)

SNA Terminology



7

SNA Sociogram



Types of Network Data

Converting data into intelligence



MODELING

INTELLIGENCE

Data (Input)

Information that connects or informs the relationship between two or more people

"Street intel"

Field interview forms (FIFs)

Arrest reports

Car/traffic stops

Gang intelligence reports

National Integrated Ballistic Information Network (NIBIN)

Data - Caution!

Intelligence will only be as good as the data used
 Flawed, incomplete, stale, cursory data yield similar output



Visualizing a Network

Field Interview	Edge		<u>Network Rep</u>	Network Representation	
FIF1 100 Andrew 200 Ken	100	200	100	200	
FIF 2 200 Ken 350 Joe 400 Tiffany	200 200 350	350 400 400	200 400	350	
FIF1&2 Combined	100 200 200 350	200 350 400 400	100 200	350	

Visualizing a Network

Network of Gang Members and Associates (n=288)



Layout optimization

- No lines overlap
- Social distance on the page

Key Players

Who is the most central in the network?

- 1. Degree Centrality
- 2. Betweenness Centrality

Types of Centrality



Degree centrality: Person with direct connections

(knows a lot of people)

Person A

Betweenness centrality: Person in the best path

(connects a lot of people)

Person B

Key Players

Degree Centrality

Betweenness Centrality





SNA in Practice

Arrest networks

High betweenness centrality 3.2xs more likely to be victim of violence

(Fox et al. 2020)

Betweenness Centrality



SNA in Practice

Identifying gang structures/focused deterrence
Hot spot enforcement and engagement
ATF/NIBIN utilization
Smaller jurisdictions
Challenges

Implementing Focused Deterrence Utilizing SNA

Intelligence Models

Build intelligence models and relationships to direct the finite resources of the police department to the core group of individuals involved in, or likely to become involved in, violent crime

Community Relationships

Build relationships in the community to establish a moral voice that impacts violence

Establish Structure

Establish a structure of outreach to those who want to change and need the help to make change



SNA Case Study in First Application for FD



- Dime Block gang network
- Developed by UMKC and KCPD Detective
- Process took two months
- Silos of intelligence
- Information technology barriers/crystal reports

Single Gang Set Sociogram



SNA Single Gang Set Breakdown

- 360 members in group
- 202 in largest connected group
- 60 currently were on probation/parole
- 32 pending cases were in Jackson County processes
- 126 members had active warrants
- 22 warrants were felony

Betweenness Centrality (Warrant)



Betweenness Centrality (Probation & Parole)



The Social Structure of Violence in a Major U.S. City

How do you engage this network? Who does it?



Hot Spot Networks





Major Urban City Patrol Division



Area of 45.4 square miles
Population: 82,585
172 sworn law enforcement officers
44 homicides in 2015
70,000 calls for service
Dozens of community groups
Melting pot, industry, tourism

Intelligence-Led SNA Hot Spots

- Central players (betweenness)
 - Wanted
 - Messaging

Probation parolees (central players first)
 Home visits to verify plan
 Arrest absconders

 Community interaction
 Identify key players to drive preventive conversation with faith-based and service-oriented groups

Intelligence-Led SNA Hot Spots





Impacting Gun Crime Through SNA and NIBIN

Agencies can integrate all ballistic matches that link gun crime, connecting the cases, then identify the networks of people involved in those crimes, victims, witnesses, and suspects to overlay that intelligence in the SNA networks

This information allows us to place a higher degree of "risk" for violence based on the prior history of individuals

These dots indicate linked gun crimes – yellow dots indicate cases involving homicides










Smaller Jurisdiction Model

Applicability to Smaller Jurisdiction

Cluster of three agencies' data in Eastern Iowa

Three jurisdictional data sets: two police departments and one sheriff's office

Population of metropolitan area: about 257,000

Very safe: three to five homicides per year

Violence very concentrated

Network Method

May 1, 2015, through April 30, 2017
All incidents and field interviews
Two-mode network connecting people through incidents

Extreme Concentration



Implementation Challenges

Computers Cannot Replace Intuition

- Procedures to draw out human intelligence must be in place
- Commanders must direct staff to document relationships and share information to produce robust SNA
- Patrol elements are one of the absolute best sources of relational data – "the book"
- FIFs must be completed



Barriers to Advancing SNA

Data not structured correctly for analysis
 What is an edge list?

Multiple names and monikers for same person
 Jonathon, Jon, Johnny, Jay Jay, Skinny Boy ...

Paper files contain large amounts of relational and node data
 Gang files, detective interview reports (DIRs)

Human knowledge of relationships not documented
 Patrol elements fail to complete FIFs

Barriers to Advancing SNA

Investigative elements unwilling to talk or grant access to files
 Our case will be compromised (case unsolvable, crime continues)

Physical separation of investigative elements
 Covert locations tend to be huge silos

No central database for storing group and individual-level intelligence
 Intel system that no one had access to or training on (big secrets!)

SNA dismissed by "tech-challenged" personnel
 First SNA models may hit the trash if training not conducted

Officer Safety Issues

SNA has outlined undercover and long-term federal investigations

• Units were not adhering to "deconfliction" practices dictated by policy

SNA charts with names need to be kept out of public view and in secure environments

Command and Line Element Misconceptions

SNA is mistaken for Social Media Analysis
 You are doing a great job with that "Facebook stuff"

SNA will contain all walks of life, not just criminal elements
 "Their data is horrible; they have a security guard mapped out"

The "let's go arrest everyone" mentality

SNA must be a tool to drive smart and impactful crime reduction operations

Probable Cause and Reasonable Suspicion

- The U.S. Constitution is "still in effect" when using SNA
- Being identified in a social structure does not transition to "probable cause or reasonable suspicion"



Prosecutors and Discovery

- SNA should be considered "raw intelligence"
- SNA should never be referred to in investigative or public access documents
- The process of preparing networks should always be accomplished with information that we legally have access to in the course of our duties
- SNA in the law enforcement realm should never be utilized for personal or political gain



Questions?

Contact Us



Joe McHale

Senior Manager Institute for Intergovernmental Research (IIR) Phone: (850) 385-0600, ext. 302 Mobile: (850) 404-4101 Email: jmchale@iir.com

Carolyn Binder



Senior Manager Institute for Intergovernmental Research (IIR) Phone: (850) 385-0600, ext. 362 Mobile: (850) 210-8033 Email: cbinder@iir.com



Strategic Solutions • Focused Action • Reduced Violence



Bureau of Justice Assistance U.S. Department of Justice



Strategic Solutions • Focused Action • Reduced Violence

Thank You!